UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	•	0	
	Roberto Mayorga-Velasquez	Case Number:	11-6534M
present and w	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 ^r vas represented by counsel. I conclude by the defendant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing a preponderance of the ev	was held on November 1, 2011. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
		FINDINGS OF FACT	
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
\boxtimes	There is a record the defendant would be subject to other incarceration if released.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	у	rears imprisonment.
The Cat the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial Se d in the record. DNCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour
1.	There is a serious risk that the defenda	ant will flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of condition of	ons will reasonably assure NS REGARDING DETEN '	the appearance of the defendant as required. TION
a corrections fappeal. The coff the United S	facility separate, to the extent practicable.	from persons awaiting or so opportunity for private cons Government, the person in	her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour or charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
IT IS of deliver a copy	ORDERED that should an appeal of this o	detention order be filed with	EASE the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
Services suffi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ciently in advance of the hearing before e potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be consid the District Court to allow	lered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	ED this 2 nd day of November, 2	011.	
		_ ^ _	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge